

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 24, 2001

LB 657

buildings, for rehabilitation of buildings, without extra money, and instead of extra money what we have is an opportunity to actually reduce the amount of funding. I was curious, yesterday I think I heard Senator Beutler saying, well, look at how much money we're putting into this program; surely it's enough. Well, if you...if you looked at the actual table of monies flowing into the 309 Fund you'll see a considerable amount of variability, and I think that a couple of things are at work. Once somebody sees that there's maybe enough money in that program to really be effective then they claim there's too much money, and they take a little bit out, or this or that. And then, once it gets proven that they...that those individuals were wrong and we get a little bit more money in there then you're back up to the point where somebody says, oh, there's too much money in that and we take some away, and we go through this terrible cycle of kind of always underfunding the program just because it looks like there's too much money in there and we can't ever quite bring ourselves to admit that we have several hundred million dollars of deferred maintenance, rehabilitation issues involving state buildings. And I suppose that's because state buildings don't vote. I'm not sure, but if state buildings could vote I bet you we'd be paying a lot more attention to \$200 million...over \$200 million in needs in those buildings. And I suppose that maybe another reason why we don't pay more attention to the needs in those buildings is that even sometimes the people that use those buildings don't vote, or they don't understand who should be responsible for the condition that they find those buildings in. They probably blame somebody that they find right there in the building and somehow the blame never comes right back here where it should be. The buck never gets here. Now, that's kind of unusual, but it doesn't seem to be happening. I'm not sure why. But I did listen to Senator Beutler when he said, well, you know, you've got all this money in there; surely it's enough money. Well, I don't think there were a couple of things taken into account. One was the effect of inflation. Over time you simply are going to have to put in more money because things cost more to do the work. I think Senator Janssen and Senator Jensen had a little discussion about that particular issue. The other thing that comes to mind is that we certainly have more buildings, more state buildings, and every one of those requires maintenance,